

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Final Office Action mailed July 26, 2007.

Claims 1-11 and 13-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soares et al. (VersionWeb: A Tool for Helping Web page Version Control).

Claims 1, 11 and 21 now read that “the propagation of at least two of the components from the source environment to the destination environment is performed in parallel”.

This feature is supported by paragraph [0014] of the present application. This feature is not shown in Soares. Soares sends files to multiple different locations, but does not perform propagation to a single destination (the destination environment) in parallel.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 26, 2007

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
(415) 362-3800
Customer No.: 23910